

Quantum affine algebras at roots of unity and equivariant K-theory

Olivier Schiffmann

February 8, 2008

Abstract

We show that the algebra homomorphism $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow K^{GL_d \times \mathbb{C}^*}(Z) \otimes \mathbb{C}(q)$ constructed by Ginzburg and Vasserot between the quantum affine algebra of type $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and the equivariant K-theory group of the Steinberg variety (of incomplete flags), specializes to a surjective homomorphism $U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow K_\epsilon^{GL_d \times \mathbb{C}^*}(Z)$. In particular, this shows that the parametrization of irreducible $U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -modules and the multiplicity formulas of [7],[9] are still valid when ϵ is a root of unity.

We will use the following notations: let $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$. We set $\mathbf{V}_d = \{(v_1, v_2 \dots v_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum_i v_i = d\}$; for $v \in \mathbf{V}_d$ put $\bar{v}_i = v_1 + \dots + v_i$ and identify v with the point $\sum_i v_i e_i \in \mathbb{C}^n$ where (e_i) is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$. For $v, w \in \mathbf{V}_d$, let

$$\mathbf{M}(v, w) = \left\{ A = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \in \mathbb{N}^{n^2} \mid \sum_j a_{ij} = v_i, \sum_i a_{ij} = w_j \right\}$$

and $\mathbf{M} = \bigsqcup_{v,w} \mathbf{M}(v, w)$. For $l \geq 1$, we denote by $\mathfrak{S}^l$ the symmetric group in l variables and we put $\mathfrak{S}^{(v)} = \mathfrak{S}^{v_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}^{v_n} \subset \mathfrak{S}^d$ for $v \in \mathbf{V}_d$ and $\mathfrak{S}^{(A)} = \mathfrak{S}^{a_{11}} \times \mathfrak{S}^{a_{21}} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}^{a_{nn}} \subseteq \mathfrak{S}^d$ for $A \in \mathbf{M}$. For all $v, w \in \mathbf{V}_d$ the set $\mathbf{M}(v, w)$ can be identified with $\mathfrak{S}^{(v)} \backslash \mathfrak{S}^d / \mathfrak{S}^{(w)}$ (cf [1]). We will write $\leq$ for the Bruhat order on $\mathfrak{S}^d$ and again $\leq$ for the induced order on $\mathbf{M}(v, w)$. We set $\mathbf{R} = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_d^{\pm 1}, q^{\pm 1}]$, $\mathbf{R}^{(v)} = \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{S}^{(v)}}$ and $\mathbf{R}^{(A)} = \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{S}^{(A)}}$. Finally, $\bar{X}$ will denote the Zariski closure of a subset X of an algebraic variety.

1 K-theory of the Steinberg variety

Let F be the variety of n -step flags in $\mathbb{C}^d$:

$$F = \{(D_i) \mid 0 = D_0 \subseteq D_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq D_n = \mathbb{C}^d\}$$

Then $F = \bigsqcup_{v \in \mathbf{V}_d} F_v$ where F_v is the connected component of F consisting of flags (D_i) satisfying $\dim(D_i/D_{i-1}) = v_i$. The group $GL_d(\mathbb{C})$ acts diagonally on $F \times F = \bigsqcup_{v,w} F_v \times F_w$ and the corresponding orbits are parametrized by $\mathbf{M}$: to $A \in \mathbf{M}(v, w)$ corresponds

$$O_A = \{((D_i), (D'_i)) \mid \dim(D_i \cap D'_j) = \sum_{h \leq i, k \leq j} a_{hk}\} \subset F_v \times F_w$$

and we have $\overline{O_A} = \bigcup_{B \leq A} O_B$. For $A \in \mathbf{M}$, denote by $Z_A = T_{O_A}^*(F \times F) \subset T^*F^2$ the conormal bundle, set $Z_{v,w} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathbf{M}(v,w)} \overline{Z_A}$, and $Z = \bigcup_{v,w} Z_{v,w}$ (the Steinberg variety). The group $G = GL_d \times \mathbb{C}^*$ acts on Z : GL_d acts diagonally and $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ acts by rescaling by z^{-2} along the fibers of T^*F^2 . Let $K^G(X)$ be the Grothendieck group of coherent G -equivariant sheaves on a G -variety X and let $[\mathcal{H}]$ denote the class of a sheaf $\mathcal{H}$. Then $K^G(F_v) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{(v)}$, $K^G(O_A) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{(A)}$. For all $A \in \mathbf{M}$, set $Z_{\leq A} = \bigcup_{B \leq A} Z_B$ and $Z_{< A} = \bigcup_{B < A} Z_B$. This filtration induces a filtration on $K^G(Z)$, whose associated graded is $\text{gr}(K^G(Z)) = \bigoplus_A K^G(Z_A) \simeq \bigoplus_A \mathbf{R}^{(A)}$ (cf [9]).

The convolution product in equivariant K-theory $\star : K^G(Z) \otimes K^G(Z) \rightarrow K^G(Z)$ equips $K^G(Z)$ with a $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ -associative algebra structure which is compatible with the filtration (cf [2], chap.5).

Fix $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $v \in \mathbf{V}_{d-a}$ be a composition of $d - a$ in n parts. Set $E_{ij}(v; a) = \text{diag}(v) + aE_{ij} \in \mathbf{M}(v + ae_i, v + ae_j)$. The orbits $O_{E_{i,i\pm 1}(v;a)}$ are closed and the projection $p_1 : O_{E_{i,i\pm 1}(v;1)} \rightarrow F_{v+e_i}$ are smooth and proper, with fibers isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{v_i}$. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{p_1}(k)$ and T^*p_1 the k th Serre twist and the cotangent sheaf relative to the fibers of p_1 . Finally let $\mathcal{E}_{i,v,k}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_{i,v,k}$) be the pullback of $\text{Det}(T^*p_1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{p_1}(k)$ on $Z_{E_{i,i+1}(v;1)}$ (resp. on $Z_{E_{i+1,i}(v;1)}$) which we view as G -equivariant sheaves on Z .

2 The quantum affine algebra

Let $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ be the quantum loop algebra of $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ over $\mathbb{C}(q)$, with generators $E_{i,k}, F_{i,k}, K_j^{\pm 1}, K_{j,l}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1, j = 1, \dots, n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^*$, with the relations of Drinfeld's new presentation (cf [5]; we use the same notations as in [9]).

For $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ let π_λ denote the projector on the space of weight λ : the operators π_λ satisfy the following relations:

$$\pi_\lambda \pi_\mu = \delta_{\mu, \lambda} \pi_\mu, \quad K_i \pi_\lambda = \pi_\lambda K_i = K_{i,l} \pi_\lambda = \pi_\lambda K_{i,l} = q^{\lambda_i} \pi_\lambda, \quad (1)$$

$$E_{i,k} \pi_\lambda = \pi_{\lambda+e_i-e_{i+1}} E_{i,k}, \quad F_{i,k} \pi_\lambda = \pi_{\lambda-e_i+e_{i+1}} F_{i,k}. \quad (2)$$

By definition, the modified quantum loop algebra is $\tilde{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \bigoplus_\lambda U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \pi_\lambda$ (cf [8]). The algebras $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ and $\tilde{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ share the same finite-dimensional representation theory.

3 The map $\tilde{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \twoheadrightarrow K^{GL_d \times \mathbb{C}^*}(Z) \otimes \mathbb{C}(q)$

Theorem 1 (Ginzburg-Vasserot) *The assignments*

$$E_{i,k} \pi_{v+e_{i+1}} \mapsto (-q)^{-v_i} [\mathcal{E}_{i,v,k}], \quad F_{i,k} \pi_{v+e_i} \mapsto (-q)^{1-v_i} [\mathcal{F}_{i,v,k}] \quad (3)$$

for $v \in \mathbf{V}_{d-1}$ and $E_{i,k} \pi_{v'} \mapsto 0, F_{i,k} \pi_{v'} \mapsto 0$ otherwise, and

$$(K_j^{\pm 1} + \sum_{l>0} K_{i,\pm l} z^{\mp l}) \pi_v \mapsto \prod_{m=1}^{\overline{v_i}-1} \frac{q^2 z - x_m}{qz - qx_m} \prod_{m=\overline{v_i}}^d \frac{z - q^2 x_m}{qz - qx_m} \in K^G(F_v)[[z^{\mp 1}]] \subset K^G(F)[[z^{\mp 1}]] \quad (4)$$

for $v \in \mathbf{V}_d$, extend to a surjective algebra homomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow K^G(Z) \otimes \mathbb{C}(q)$.

In the last line, we identify T^*F with the conormal bundle of the diagonal of $F \times F$ in T^*F^2 and we consider $K^G(F) \simeq K^G(T^*F) \subset K^G(Z)$. This theorem gives a geometric construction of all irreducible, finite-dimensional $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ -modules when q is not a root of unity.

4 Restriction to integral forms

Let $U_q^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ denote the restricted integral form of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ (cf [4]): $U_q^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ is the $\mathbb{C}[q, q^{-1}]$ -algebra generated by $E_{ik}^{(m)} = \frac{E_{ik}^m}{[m]!}, F_{ik}^{(m)} = \frac{F_{ik}^m}{[m]!}, K_j^{\pm 1}$ and $\frac{H_{j,r}}{[r]}$ where $H_{j,r}$ is determined by the relation

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} H_{j,\pm k} z^{\mp k} = (q - q^{-1}) \log(1 + \sum_{l > 0} K_j^{\mp 1} K_{j,\pm l} z^{\mp l}) \quad (5)$$

By definition, $\tilde{U}_q^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = \bigoplus_\lambda U_q^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \pi_\lambda$.

Theorem 2 *The map $\Phi : \tilde{U}_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \twoheadrightarrow K^G(Z) \otimes \mathbb{C}(q)$ restricts to a surjective map $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{U}_q^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \twoheadrightarrow K^G(Z)$.*

The theorem is a consequence of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1 *The map Φ restricts to a map $\tilde{\Phi} : \tilde{U}_q^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow K^G(Z)$.*

Proof: it is clear that $\Phi(K_j^{\pm 1} \pi_v) \in K^G(Z)$ for all $v \in \mathbf{V}$; a direct computation shows that

$$\Phi\left(\frac{H_{j,r}}{[r]} \pi_v\right) = \frac{-1}{r} \left(q^{\mp r} \sum_{l=1}^{\overline{v_i}-1} x_l^{\pm r} + q^{\pm r} \sum_{l=1+\overline{v_i}}^d x_l^{\pm r} \right) \in K^G(Z) \quad (6)$$

For v a composition of $d-1$ in n parts, set $v^{(l)} = v + l(e_{i+1} - e_i)$. Write $\prod_{i=1, \dots, r}^{\rightarrow} u_i$ for the ordered product $u_1 u_2 \dots u_r$. We have

$$\Phi(E_{i,k}^m \pi_{v^{(m-1)}+e_{i+1}}) = \Phi(E_{i,k} \pi_{v^{(0)}+e_{i+1}}) \star \dots \star \Phi(E_{i,k} \pi_{v^{(m-1)}+e_{i+1}}) = \prod_{l=0, \dots, m-1}^{\rightarrow} (-q)^{v_i-l} [\mathcal{E}_{i,v^{(l)},k}].$$

To see that $\Phi(E_{i,k}^{(m)} \pi_{v^{(m-1)}+e_{i+1}}) \in K^G(Z)$, it is enough to treat the case $n = 2$. Let $v = (v_1, v_2)$, $v_1 + v_2 = d-1$. Recall that orbits of type $O_{E_{12}(w;a)}$ are closed. Using [9], Lemme 12 et Exemple 4, we have

$$[\mathcal{E}_{1,v^{(l)},k}] = x_{1-l+v_1}^k \prod_{t=1}^{v_1-l} \frac{x_t}{x_{1-l+v_1}} \in \mathbf{R}^{(E_{12}(v^{(l)};1))} = K^G(Z_{E_{12}(v^{(l)};1)}) \quad (7)$$

and the convolution product

$$\star : K^G(Z_{E_{12}(v-l e_1; l+1)}) \otimes K^G(Z_{E_{12}(v^{(l+1)}; 1)}) \rightarrow K^G(Z_{E_{12}(v-(l+1)e_1; l+2)})$$

can be written

$$f \otimes g \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_{I \times J}^{I \cup J} (fg \prod_{i \in I} \prod_{j \in J} \frac{1 - q^2 x_j / x_i}{1 - x_i / x_j})$$

where $I = \{v_1 - l\}$, $J = [v_1 - l + 1, v_1 + 1]$ and $\mathfrak{S}_{I \times J}^{I \cup J}$ is the symmetrization map $\mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{S}_I \times \mathfrak{S}_J} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{S}_{I \cup J}}$. It follows by induction that

$$\prod_{l=0, \dots, m-1}^{\rightarrow} [\mathcal{E}_{1,v^{(l)},k}] = q^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}} [m]! x_{2-m+v_1}^k \dots x_{v_1+1}^k \prod_{t=1}^{1-m+v_1} \frac{x_t^m}{x_{2-m+v_1} \dots x_{1+v_1}}. \quad (8)$$

Hence $\Phi(E_{i,k}^{(m)} \pi_{v^{(m-1)}+e_{i+1}}) \in K^G(Z)$. The case of $F_{i,k}^{(m)} \pi_\lambda$ is similar. $\blacksquare$

We now show that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is surjective. The algebra $K^G(Z)$ is generated by sheaves supported on $\overline{Z_A}$, where $A \in \mathbf{M}$ is diagonal or of the type $E_{i,i+1}(v;a)$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$ (cf. [9], proof of proposition 11). From (6), we deduce that $K^G(\overline{Z_A}) \subset \text{Im } \tilde{\Phi}$ for all diagonal A . It thus remains to show the following:

Lemma 2 *We have $K^G(\overline{Z_A}) \subset \text{Im } \tilde{\Phi}$ for $A = E_{i,i+1}(v;a)$.*

Proof: We treat the case $A = E_{i,i+1}(v;a)$ and proceed by induction on a . We have $K^G(Z_{E_{i,i+1}(v;a)}) \simeq \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{S}_{v_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{v_i} \times \mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_{v_{i+1}} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{v_n}}$. The algebra $K^G(Z_{\text{diag}(v+ae_i)}) = \mathbf{R}^{\mathfrak{S}_{v_1} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{a+v_i} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{v_n}}$ acts (by convolution) on $K^G(Z_{E_{i,i+1}(v;a)})$. For $a = 1$, the elements $[\mathcal{E}_{i,v,k}]$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a generating system of the $K^G(Z_{\text{diag}(v+e_i)})$ -module $K^G(Z_{E_{i,i+1}(v;1)})$ (cf (7)). Now let $a > 1$ and suppose that $K^G(Z_{E_{i,i+1}(v';b)}) \subset \text{Im } \tilde{\Phi}$ for all v' and all $b < a$. The $K^G(Z_{\text{diag}(v+ae_i)})$ -module $K^G(Z_{E_{i,i+1}(v;a)})$ is generated by the subspace $\mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_a^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_a}$ where we set $y_l = x_{l+\overline{v_i}}$. Let us denote by $\mathfrak{S} : \mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_a^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_a^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_a}$ the operator of complete symmetrization, so that we have $\mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_a^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}_a} = \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathbb{C} \mathfrak{S}(y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_a^{\alpha_a})$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_i) \in \mathbb{Z}^a$. We show, by induction on $\|\alpha\| = \sum_t (\alpha_t - \inf \alpha)^2$, that $\mathfrak{S}(y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_a^{\alpha_a}) \in \text{Im } \tilde{\Phi}$. Using (8) with $m = a$ we obtain

$$\tilde{\Phi}(E_{i,l}^{(a)} \pi_{v^{(a-1)}+(a-1)e_i+e_{i+1}}) = (-1)^a q^{a(a-1+v_i)} y_1^l \dots y_a^l \prod_{t=1}^{\overline{v_i}} \frac{x_t^a}{y_1 \dots y_a}.$$

It follows that $y_1^k \dots y_a^k \in \sum_l K^G(Z_{\text{diag}(v+ae_{i+1})}) \tilde{\Phi}(E_{i,l}^{(a)} \pi_{v^{(a-1)}+(a-1)e_i+e_{i+1}}) \subset \text{Im } \tilde{\Phi}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now let $h > 0$ and suppose that $\mathfrak{S}(y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_a^{\alpha_a}) \in \text{Im } \tilde{\Phi}$ for all α such that $\|\alpha\| < h$. Fix some $\alpha = (\alpha_1 = \dots =$

$\alpha_s > \alpha_{s+1} \geq \dots \geq \alpha_a$, $\|\alpha\| = h$. Denote by $\mathfrak{S}' : \mathbb{C}[y_{s+1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_a^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[y_{s+1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_a^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}^{a-s}}$ the operator of symmetrization and set

$$P = \mathfrak{S}'(y_{s+1}^{\alpha_{s+1}} \dots y_a^{\alpha_a}) \prod_{u=s+1}^a y_u \in \mathbb{C}[y_{s+1}^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_a^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}^{a-s}} \subset K^G(Z_{E_{i,i+1}(v+se_i; a-s)}),$$

$$Q = y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_s^{\alpha_s} \prod_{t=1}^s \frac{1}{y_t} \in \mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_s^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}^s} \subset K^G(Z_{E_{i,i+1}(v+(a-s)e_{i+1}; s)}).$$

Then

$$P \star Q = \mathfrak{S}\left(y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_s^{\alpha_s} \mathfrak{S}'(y_{s+1}^{\alpha_{s+1}} \dots y_a^{\alpha_a}) \prod_{t=1}^s \prod_{u=s+1}^a (1 + (1 - q^2) \frac{y_u}{y_t - y_u})\right) \quad (9)$$

Using the relation $(y_t^\alpha y_u^{\beta+1} - y_t^{\beta+1} y_u^\alpha)/(y_t - y_u) = y_t^{\alpha-1} y_u^{\beta+1} + \dots + y_t^{\beta+1} y_u^{\alpha-1}$, and noticing that $(\alpha - i)^2 + (\beta + i)^2 < \alpha^2 + \beta^2$ if $\alpha > \beta \geq 0$ and $i < \alpha - \beta$, it is easy to see that the r.h.s of (9) is equal to $(a - s)! \mathfrak{S}(y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_a^{\alpha_a}) + T$ where T is a linear combination of polynomials $\mathfrak{S}(y_1^{\beta_1} \dots y_a^{\beta_a})$ with $\sigma_2(\beta) < \sigma_2(\alpha) = h$. Since $s, a - s < a$, it follows by the induction hypothesis that $\mathfrak{S}(y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots y_a^{\alpha_a}) \in \text{Im } \tilde{\Phi}$. Hence, $\mathbb{C}[y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_a^{\pm 1}]^{\mathfrak{S}^a} \subset \text{Im } \tilde{\Phi}$ and $K^G(Z_{E_{i,i+1}(v;a)}) \subset \text{Im } \tilde{\Phi}$. This concludes the induction and the proof of the theorem. $\blacksquare$

There is a surjective morphism $\Psi : U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \twoheadrightarrow K^G(Z) \otimes \mathbb{C}(q)$ analogous to Φ , (defined by $\Psi(u) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{V}_d} \Phi(u\pi_\lambda)$ for $u \in U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$). For $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$, let us set $U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) = U_q^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)|_{q=\epsilon}$ and $K_\epsilon^G(Z) = K^G(Z)|_{q=\epsilon}$. Lemma 1 shows that Ψ restricts to a map $\tilde{\Psi} : U_q^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow K^G(Z)$, and specializes to a morphism $\Psi_\epsilon : U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow K_\epsilon^G(Z)$.

Corollary 1 *For all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$, the map $\Psi_\epsilon : U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow K_\epsilon^G(Z)$ is surjective.*

Indeed, for all $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$, the projectors on weight subspaces π_λ can be realized inside $U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$, i.e there exists $\bar{\pi}_\lambda \in U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ satisfying (1-2). Let us denote by $i_\epsilon : \tilde{U}_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n) \rightarrow U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$ the algebra map defined by $i_\epsilon(u\pi_\lambda) = u\bar{\pi}_\lambda$ for $u \in U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$. We have $\tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon = \tilde{\Psi} \circ i_\epsilon$ and the surjectivity of $\tilde{\Psi}_\epsilon$ now follows from the surjectivity of $\tilde{\Phi}_\epsilon$. $\blacksquare$

Theorem 2 justifies the constructions of $U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -modules and the Kazhdan-Lusztig multiplicity formulas of [7],[9] when ϵ is a root of unity:

Corollary 2 *The parametrization of irreducible, finite-dimensional $U_\epsilon^{\text{res}}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ -modules L_O (resp. standard modules M_O) in terms of graded nilpotent orbits O (cf [9]) and the multiplicity formula*

$$[M_O : L_{O'}] = \sum_i \dim \mathcal{H}^i(IC_{O'})|_O$$

are valid when ϵ is a root of unity.

Acknowledgments: I would like to thank Eric Vasserot for his patience and precious advice.

References

- [1] Beilinson A., Lusztig G., MacPherson R., *A geometric setting for the quantum deformation of GL_n* , Duke Math. J. **61** No.2 655-675.
- [2] Chriss N., Ginzburg V., *Representation theory and complex geometry*, Birkhauser (1997).
- [4] Chari V., Pressley A., *Quantum affine algebras at roots of unity*, Representation Theory, **1**.
- [5] Ding J., Frenkel I., *Isomorphism of two realizations of quantum affine algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$* . Comm. Math. Phys. **156** (1993), no. 2, 277-300.
- [6] Drinfeld V.G., *Quantum groups*, Proceeding of the ICM, Berkeley 1986.

- [7] Ginzburg V., Vasserot E., *Langlands reciprocity for affine quantum groups of type A_n* . Internat. Math. Res. Notices, **3** (1993), 67-85.
- [8] Lusztig G., *Introduction to quantum groups*, Birkhauser (1993).
- [9] Vasserot E., *Affine quantum groups and equivariant K -theory*, to appear in Transformation Groups.

Olivier Schiffmann, ENS Paris, 45 rue d'Ulm, 75005 PARIS; `schiffma@clipper.ens.fr`